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## Historical puzzle in meteorology

## Atmosphere Dec-Feb



Eulerian zonal-mean (averaged in longitude) meridional velocity at constant pressure: thermally indirect midlatitude Ferrel cells, increasing pole-to-equator temperature gradient


Zonal-mean meridional velocity at constant isentropes (potential temperature), remapped in pressure

The thermally indirect cells (Ferrel) disappear in isentropic coordinates

## Two different measures of zonally averaged transport

Volume transport
$\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{v}}=0$


Potential temperature transport $\partial_{t} \bar{\rho}+\nabla \cdot \overline{\rho \mathbf{v}}=$ Diabatic terms


Temperature, salinity, humidity, CO2 transports are also interesting (Potential) temperature is special: it is stably stratified, a good vertical coordinate

The Eulerian flow indicates equatorward heat transport in mid-latitudes In isentropic coordinates there is poleward transport

## Maintenance of the Eulerian Ferrell cell

The zonally average $\bar{v}$ is ageostrophic

$$
\bar{p}_{x}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-f \bar{v}=-\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}\left(\cos ^{2} \vartheta \overline{{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z}\right. & \begin{array}{l}
\bar{u} \text {-momentum balance: driven by convergence } \\
\text { of eddy momentum flux or friction }
\end{array} \\
\bar{w}=\frac{1}{N^{2}}\left[Q_{b}-\frac{1}{\cos \vartheta} \frac{\left.\partial \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \cos \vartheta\right)}{\partial y}\right] & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Buoyancy balance: driven by convergence } \\
\text { of eddy buoyancy flux or diabatic terms }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$



Momentum and buoyancy fluxes from baroclinic eddies drive the Eulerian overturning


$$
\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z}=0
$$

## Mechanism of momentum flux convergence


from Vallis (2017)

$\psi=\operatorname{Re} C e^{\mathrm{i}(k x+l y-\omega t)} \quad$ Rossby wave with dispersion relation $\quad \omega=c k=\bar{u} k-\frac{\beta k}{k^{2}+l^{2}}$ Meridional group velocity $c_{g}^{y}=\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial l}=\frac{2 \beta k l}{\left(k^{2}+l^{2}\right)^{2}}$

Horizontal velocity $\quad u^{\prime}=-\operatorname{ReCil} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k x+l y-\omega t)}, \quad v^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re} C \mathrm{i} k \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k x+l y-\omega t)}$,
$\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}=-\frac{1}{2} C^{2} k l=-\mu^{2} c_{g}^{y} \quad$ goes from negative to positive, i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}<0$

Is the net heat transport equatorward or poleward?


A small residual of two large terms almost balancing

Transport by the mean Transport by eddies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text { Requires } \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(f \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}}{N^{2}}-\frac{\partial \overline{v^{\prime} u^{\prime}}}{\partial y}\right)=f \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{Q_{b}}{N^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}} \quad \text { Eddy PV flux divergence }
\end{gathered}
$$

We need to look at the PV fluxes

## Look at the QGPV

In QG we have two variables, linearly related

$$
\psi \text { and } q \equiv \nabla^{2} \psi+f^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial_{z} \psi}{N^{2}}\right)
$$

We want to know the average, large-scale, slow-time evolution of $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{q}$

$$
\partial_{t} \bar{q}+J(\bar{\psi}, \bar{q})=-\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} q^{\prime}}+\operatorname{curl} \bar{F}
$$

+ boundary conditions:

$$
f\left[\partial_{t} \bar{\psi}_{z}+J\left(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}_{z}\right)\right]+N^{2} \bar{w}=-\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} \psi_{z}^{\prime}}+\bar{S} \text { at } z=0, H
$$

We need to know $\bar{\psi}, \overline{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{u}^{\prime} b^{\prime}}$ on boundaries

In general

$$
v^{\prime} q^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} v^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\left(v^{\prime 2}-u^{\prime 2}\right)-\frac{b^{\prime 2}}{N^{2}}\right) .
$$

For a zonal average

$$
\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

## Eliassen-Palm fluxes $\mathcal{F}$

We can write: $\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}=\nabla_{x} \cdot \mathcal{F}$, with: $\mathcal{F} \equiv-\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} \mathbf{j}+\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \mathbf{k} \quad \nabla_{x} \cdot \equiv(\partial / \partial y, \partial / \partial z)$.

$$
f_{0} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial y},
$$

In QG, momentum and buoyancy are: $\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}=f_{0} \bar{v}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}+\bar{F}$,

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial t}=-N^{2} \bar{w}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}+\bar{S} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}=f_{0} \bar{v}^{*}+\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}+\bar{F}, \\
& \frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial t}=-N^{2} \bar{w}^{*}+\bar{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

Where: $\bar{v}^{*}=\bar{v}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\right), \quad \bar{w}^{*}=\bar{w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\right)$ is an incompressible velocity

Propagation and breaking of EP fluxes with momentum deposition and jet acceleration



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=-\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} \mathbf{j}+\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \mathbf{k}, \\
& \text { dashed colors: } \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal { F }}=\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}} \\
& \text { solid contours: } \bar{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The transformed eulerian mean - TEM (QG)

Remember: $\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}=\nabla_{x} \cdot \mathcal{F}$, with: $\mathcal{F} \equiv-\overline{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}} \mathbf{j}+\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}} \mathbf{k} \quad \nabla_{x} \equiv \equiv(\partial / \partial y, \partial / \partial z)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}=f_{0} \bar{v}^{*}+\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}+\bar{F}, & \bar{v}^{*}=\bar{v}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial t}=-N^{2} \bar{w}^{*}+\bar{S}, & \bar{w}^{*}=\bar{w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Residual streamfunction: $\psi^{*} \equiv \psi_{m}+\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}, \quad\left(\bar{v}^{*}, \bar{w}^{*}\right)=\left(-\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial y}\right)$



Ferrel cell is reversed
from Vallis (2017)

Use thermal wind to eliminate $u$ and $b: f_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{*}}{\partial z^{2}}+N^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{*}}{\partial y^{2}}=f_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}+f_{0} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial y}$

## The residual circulation - TEM (QG)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}=f_{0} \bar{v}^{*}+\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}+\bar{F}, \\
& \frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial t}=-N^{2} \bar{w}^{*}+\bar{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\bar{v}^{*}, \bar{w}^{*}\right)=\left(-\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial y}\right) \\
\psi^{*} \equiv \psi_{m}+\frac{1}{N^{2}} \overline{v^{\prime} b^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the upper branch: $f_{0} \bar{v}^{*} \approx-\overline{v^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$


The residual circulation is more representative of tracer transport than Eulerian flow

## Summary so far

The apparent equatorward heat transport by the Ferrel cells is resolved by including eddy-transport of (potential) temperature.

The momentum eddy-transport and form-stress maintain the Eulerian Ferrel cells.
TEM accounts for these processes in a simple QG framework: a breakthrough.
In QG, isentropes (potential density or potential temperature) are horizontal, so there is little difference between diabatic and vertical transport.

In general isentropes are not horizontal, so TEM needs to be generalized for usage in the primitive equations.

## Example I: 2D Steady Flow



Steady 2D nonhydrostatic convection-Paparella \& Young (2002) Reyleigh \# = $10^{8}$

Buoyancy equation:

$$
b_{t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla b=\mathcal{D}
$$

For 2D steady flow:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v b_{y}+w b_{z}=\mathcal{D} \\
v_{y}+w w_{z}=0 \\
\psi_{z}=-v \quad \psi_{y}=w
\end{array}
$$

$b$ is advected by $\psi$ and dissipated by $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$
J(\psi, b)=\mathcal{D}
$$

where $J(\psi, b)=\frac{\partial(\psi, b)}{\partial(y, z)}=\psi_{y} b_{z}-\psi_{z} b_{y}$
Use buoyancy's vertical coordinate:

$$
\frac{\partial(\psi, b)}{\partial(y, z)}=\frac{\partial(\psi, b)}{\partial(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})} \frac{\partial(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})}{\partial(y, z)}=b_{z} \psi_{\tilde{y}} \quad \tilde{y} \rightarrow \text { at constant } b
$$

$$
\psi_{\tilde{y}}=\sigma \mathcal{D} \quad \sigma=b_{z}^{-1}
$$

The mean flow advects the mean buoyancy and the diapycnal velocity balances diabatic sources and sinks

## Example II: 3D Steady Flow in oceanic box



- Idealized single basin +ACC, forced at surface
- Half-sized basin in a notched-box
- Coarse resolution ( 100 km ), hydrostatic MITgcm
- No salt: buoyancy linearly related to temperature
- GM eddy parameterization
- Explicit mixing only in surface layer $\sim 50 \mathrm{~m}$ deep
mean surface temp



## 3D Steady Flow


$\overline{(\cdot)}{ }^{z} \rightarrow$ zonal mean (at constant $z$ ) $\quad J\left(\bar{\psi}^{z}, \bar{b}^{z}\right)+{\overline{\left(v^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)}}_{y}^{z}+{\overline{\left(w^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)_{z}^{z}}}_{z}^{z}=\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{z}$
The mean flow does not advect the mean buoyancy:

$$
\overline{\psi_{\tilde{y}}^{z}} \neq \bar{\sigma}^{z} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{z} \quad \tilde{y} \rightarrow \text { at constant } \bar{b}
$$

A thermally indirect cell in the periodic portion of the domain: Deacon cell equivalent to Ferrell cell in atmosphere.

## Residual Streamfunction (3D steady)

Begin in buoyancy coordinates: 3-D variation:
$\psi^{\dagger}[\mathrm{Sv}] / \bar{z}[\mathrm{~m}]$

$$
(\sigma u)_{\tilde{x}}+(\sigma v)_{\tilde{y}}+(\sigma \mathcal{D})_{\tilde{b}}=0 \quad \sigma=b_{z}^{-1}
$$

Zonally average at constant $b$ :


Define the residual streamfunction:
$\psi_{\tilde{b}}^{\dagger}=-\overline{(\sigma v)}=-\bar{\sigma} \hat{v} \quad \psi_{\tilde{y}}^{\dagger}=\overline{(\sigma \mathcal{D})}=\bar{\sigma} \hat{\varpi}$
Decompose into mean and eddy components:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{\dagger}=\bar{\psi}+\psi^{*} \\
& \bar{\psi}_{\tilde{b}}=-\bar{\sigma} \bar{v} \quad \psi_{\tilde{b}}^{*}=-\overline{\sigma^{\prime} v^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mean isopycnal height:

$$
\bar{z}_{\tilde{b}}=\bar{\sigma}
$$



## Calculation in level coordinates (3D steady)

Easier to calculate in level coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{\dagger}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})=-\int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{b}} \overline{\sigma v} \mathrm{~d} b=-\overline{\int_{-H}^{\zeta} v \mathrm{~d} z}=-\int_{-H}^{0} \overline{v \mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-b(x, y, z)]} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \text { where } \zeta \text { satisfies } \tilde{b}=b(x, y, \zeta(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{b})) \quad \text { Heaviside function }
\end{aligned}
$$

Do the same with the mean streamfunction:

$$
\bar{\psi}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})=-\int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{b}} \bar{\sigma} \bar{v} \mathrm{~d} b=-\int_{-H}^{0} \bar{v} \overline{\mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-b(x, y, z)]} \mathrm{d} z
$$

Note: $\bar{\sigma}=\overline{b_{z}^{-1}} \neq \bar{b}_{z}^{-1} \quad$ so

$$
\bar{\psi}^{z}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})=-\int_{-H}^{0} \bar{v} \mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-\bar{b}] \mathrm{d} z \neq \bar{\psi}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})
$$

## Definition of the Mean (3D steady)


$\bar{\psi}^{z}[\mathrm{~Sv}] / \hat{z}[\mathrm{~m}]$



Thus, $\bar{\psi}$ is not simply a remapping of $\bar{\psi}^{z}$

## Example III: 3D unsteady flow



- Idealized single basin +ACC forced at surface
- Half-sized basin in a notched-box
- High resolution ( 5.4 km ), hydrostatic MITgcm
- No salt: buoyancy linearly related to temperature
- No eddy or mixed layer parameterizations
- $\kappa=1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
mean surface temp



## 3D unsteady flow

Now $\overline{(\cdot)} \rightarrow$ time mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\dagger}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b}) & =-\int_{x_{w}}^{x_{e}} \int_{-H}^{0} \overline{v \mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-b(x, y, z, t)]} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} x \\
\bar{\psi}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b}) & =-\int_{x_{w}}^{x_{e}} \int_{-H}^{0} \bar{v} \overline{\mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-b(x, y, z, t)]} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\bar{z}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})=-H+\int_{x_{w}}^{x_{e}} \frac{1}{x_{e}-x_{w}} \int_{-H}^{0} \overline{\mathcal{H}[\tilde{b}-b(x, y, z, t)]} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} x
$$



## Visualization for human consumption

We have $\psi^{\dagger}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b}) \quad \bar{z}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{b})$


Residual streamfunction and buoyancy


We can express $\psi^{\dagger}(\tilde{y}, \bar{z})$
latitude

## TEM beyond QG: Thickness Weighted Average

The stacked primitive equations: average in buoyancy coordinates over quasi-adiabatic eddies

## The TWA equations:

 can be presented in any coordinate system (buoyancy or spatial)Stacked:
$b_{z} \neq 0$


The transport velocity a.k.a. the residual velocity is:

$$
\hat{u}=\frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\bar{\sigma}}
$$

The (unweighted) mean velocity is:$\bar{u}$

Young, W.R., 2012. An exact thickness-weighted average formulation of the Boussinesq equations. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(5), pp.692-707.

## The TWA equations in buoyancy coordinates

(I) Only the residual velocity appears.
(2) All tracers - including momentum are advected by the residual velocity.
(3) Eddy effects are confined to the momentum equations, and appear in EP vectors.
(4) EP vectors are quadratic in eddy amplitude.
(5) EP divergences are expressed in terms of the eddy-flux of PV. There is a fully 3D and nonlinear generalization of Taylor's identity connection EP to PD.
(6) This is not the most general formulation, but it is probably the most useful because buoyancy is the best stacked tracer.
$\sigma \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \zeta_{\tilde{b}} \quad \sigma=\frac{1}{b_{z}}$ Thickness

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}_{\tilde{t}}+\hat{\varpi} \hat{u}_{\tilde{b}}-\bar{\sigma} \hat{v} \Pi^{\#}+\left(\bar{m}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{u}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{x}}=\hat{\mathcal{X}}-\nabla \\
& \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\tilde{t}}+\hat{\varpi} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\tilde{b}}-\bar{\sigma} \hat{u} \Pi^{\#}+\left(\bar{m}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{u}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}}=\hat{\mathcal{Y}}-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{v} \\
& \bar{\zeta}+\bar{m}_{\tilde{b}}=0 \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{\tilde{t}}+(\overline{\sigma u})_{\tilde{x}}+(\overline{\sigma v})_{\tilde{y}}+(\overline{\varpi \sigma})_{\tilde{b}}=0, \\
& \text { diabetic effects } \\
& \Pi^{\#} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \frac{f+\hat{v}_{\tilde{x}}-\hat{u}_{\tilde{y}}}{\bar{\sigma}} \quad \text { artel's PV } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { The residual } \\
\text { velocity is: }
\end{array} \quad \hat{u}=\frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\bar{\sigma}} \\
& \mathbf{E}^{u}=\widehat{u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime}} \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}+\widehat{u^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{2}+\bar{\sigma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\zeta^{\prime 2}} \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}+\overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{\tilde{x}}^{\prime}} \overline{\mathbf{e}}_{3}\right) \\
& u=\hat{u}+u^{\prime \prime} \\
& \zeta=\bar{\zeta}+\zeta^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{q} & =q^{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+q^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+q^{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{3} \\
\sigma \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} & =\left(\sigma q^{1}\right)_{\tilde{x}}+\left(\sigma q^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}}+\left(\sigma q^{3}\right)_{\tilde{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{e}_{1}=\boldsymbol{i}+z_{x} \boldsymbol{k} \\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{2}=\boldsymbol{j}+z_{y} \boldsymbol{k} \\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{3}=\sigma \boldsymbol{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\nabla f=f_{\tilde{x}} \mathbf{e}^{1}+f_{\tilde{y}} \mathbf{e}^{2}+f_{\tilde{b}} \mathbf{e}^{3}$
Dual basis vectors

Buoyancy coordinates are so close to cartesian coordinates that one is tempted to wing it. But buoyancy $\quad \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{j}=\delta_{i j}$ coordinates are not orthogonal...

## Overturning circulations in vertical and density coordinates

Southern-ocean
Eulerian zonally averaged meridional mass transport


Zonal average in density coordinates,remapped in height


The thermally indirect cell (Deacon cell) disappear in density coordinates

## The ACC velocity (FESOM model)



A massive westward current with rich eddy-field

## Application to TWA to idealized ACC

## Idealized Southern Ocean

## Spin up:

100 years at 20 km from rest 20 years at 10 km interpolated from 10 km 15 years at 5 km interpolated from 5 km

Simulation and Analysis:
20 years of simulation sampled every 3 day ocean PDE solver uses 100 levels TWA analysis uses 100 buoyancy levels

Forcing:
zonally-uniform wind stress as shown linear restoring of surface temperature linear restoring of interior temperature at boundaries.

Configuration:
$1000 \mathrm{~km} \times 2000 \mathrm{~km} \times 2.5 \mathrm{~km}$ includes continental shelf and shelf break. zonally-periodic linear EOS with uniform salinity (surfaces of temperate $==$ surfaces of buoyancy)


A Thickness-Weighted Average Perspective of Force Balance in an Idealized Circumpolar Current Ringler et al.,JPO 2017 https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0096.1

## Thickness-Weighted Averaged (TWA) equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}_{\tilde{t}}+\hat{\omega} \hat{u}_{\hat{b}}-\bar{\sigma} \hat{v} \Pi^{\sharp}+\left(\bar{m}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{u}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\hat{x}}=\hat{\mathcal{X}}-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{u} \\
& \hat{v}_{\hat{t}}+\hat{\omega} \hat{v}_{\tilde{b}}+\bar{\sigma} \hat{u} \Pi^{\sharp}+\left(\bar{m}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{u}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \hat{v}^{2}\right)_{\hat{y}}=\hat{\mathcal{Y}}-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^{v} \\
& \bar{\zeta}+\bar{m}_{\tilde{b}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The advecting velocity

 is now the thicknessweighted velocity (aka residual mean velocity).$$
\bar{\sigma}_{\tilde{t}}+(\overline{\sigma u})_{\tilde{x}}+(\overline{\sigma v})_{\tilde{y}}+(\overline{\sigma \varpi})_{\tilde{b}}=0
$$

## The TWA machinery

 leaves the structure of the equations intact and$$
\begin{array}{rl}
u_{\tilde{t}}+\varpi u_{\tilde{b}}-\sigma v \Pi+\left(m+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} v^{2}\right)_{\tilde{x}}=\mathcal{X} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { isolates the action of the } \\
\text { eddies into a single term. }
\end{array} \\
v_{\tilde{t}}+\varpi v_{\tilde{b}}+\sigma u \Pi+\left(m+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}+\frac{1}{2} v^{2}\right)_{\tilde{y}}=\mathcal{Y} & (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}): \text { non-conservative forcing } \\
\zeta+m_{\tilde{b}}=0 & m: \text { Montgomery potential } \\
\sigma_{\tilde{t}}+(\sigma u)_{\tilde{x}}+(\sigma v)_{\tilde{y}}+(\sigma \varpi)_{\tilde{b}}=0 & \Pi=\frac{f+u_{x}-v_{y}}{\sigma}: \text { potential vorticity } \\
& \varpi=\frac{D \tilde{b}}{D \tilde{t}}: \text { diabatic velocity }
\end{array}
$$ <br> \title{

TWA at out-cropped buoyancy layers .... what to do?
} <br> \title{
TWA at out-cropped buoyancy layers .... what to do?
}

For each sample,

$\sigma=\zeta_{\tilde{b}}$, is given a value of zero for out-cropped layers.
$\sigma \mathbf{u}$, is given a value of zero for out-cropped layers.

$$
\bar{\sigma}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sigma, \quad \overline{\sigma \mathbf{u}}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sigma \mathbf{u}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}=\frac{\overline{\sigma \mathbf{u}}}{\bar{\sigma}}
$$

## TWA meridional velocity time and zonally averaged



The TWA meridional velocity is in the surface diabatic layer and at the bottom
Almost no TWA meridional velocity in the interior

## Momentum balance in the box



Nothing below 600 m until the bottom boundary layer near 3000 m .

The EP fluxes: vertical flux of eastward momentum


The vertical component of eastward momentum flux is dominated by $\overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{x}^{\prime}}$

$\overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{x}^{\prime}}$ is vertically uniform except in the top and bottom boundary layer: no divergence and no residual velocity except in top and bottom layers


In QG-TEM

$$
v^{\prime} q^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{f_{0}}{N^{2}} v^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\left(v^{\prime 2}-u^{\prime 2}\right)-\frac{b^{\prime 2}}{N^{2}}\right) .
$$

$$
f_{0} v^{\prime}=p_{x}^{\prime}
$$

On a constant buoyancy surface $\quad b=\bar{b}(z)+b^{\prime}(x, y, z, t) \Longrightarrow \zeta^{\prime} \approx-\frac{b^{\prime}}{\bar{b}_{z}}=-\frac{b^{\prime}}{N^{2}}$
Form-stress transfers momentum vertically (or across buoyancies)

## Using residual velocities in a prognostic model: cartesian coordinates

(I) Only residual velocity appears.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{u}_{t}+\hat{u} \hat{u}_{x}+\hat{v} \hat{u}_{y}+w^{\sharp} \hat{u}_{z}-f \hat{v}+p_{x}^{\#}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{u}=0, \\
\hat{v}_{t}+\hat{u} \hat{v}_{x}+\hat{v} \hat{v}_{y}+w^{\sharp} \hat{v}_{z}+f \hat{u}+p_{y}^{\#}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{v}=0,
\end{array}
$$

(2) All tracers are advected by the

$$
p_{z}^{\#}=b^{\#},
$$ residual velocity.

(3) Eddy effects are confined to the momentum equations, and appear in EP vectors.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{u}_{x}+\hat{v}_{y}+w_{z}^{\#}=0, \\
b_{t}^{\#}+\mathbf{u}^{\#} \cdot \nabla b^{\#}=\hat{\boldsymbol{m}} . \\
\text { diabatic effects }
\end{gathered}
$$


$\hat{u}=\frac{\overline{\sigma u}}{\bar{\sigma}} \quad \sigma=\frac{1}{b_{z}}$
An eulerian observer at $(x, y, z, t)$ is
at the mean depth $z$ of some
$b^{\sharp}(x, y, z, t)$
buoyancy surface. This defines

## Parametrization of EP fluxes

A model in terms of the TWA fields requires parametrizing the EP fluxes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{x}^{\prime}}=-\mu \bar{\sigma} \hat{u}_{z} \quad \text { Vertical viscosity of horizontal momentum (Rhines and Young, 1982) } \\
& \overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{y}^{\prime}}=-\mu \bar{\sigma} \hat{v}_{z} \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to adding extra velocities to the Coriolis terms such that

$$
f v^{*} \equiv\left(\frac{\overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{x}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\sigma}}\right)_{z} \quad f u^{*} \equiv-\left(\frac{\overline{\zeta^{\prime} m_{y}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\sigma}}\right)_{z}
$$

If $\hat{u} \hat{v}$ are in geostrophic balance, then

$$
\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)=\left(\kappa_{a} \frac{\nabla \rho^{\sharp}}{\rho_{z}^{\sharp}}\right)_{z}
$$

With $\quad \kappa_{a}=\mu f^{-2} \bar{\sigma}^{-1}=\mu f^{-2} \bar{N}^{2}$

The parametrization for the extra velocity is equivalent to Gent-McWilliams scheme with diffusivity $\kappa_{a}$

## Comparison to a Eulerian model

A model in terms of the Eulerian fields requires parametrizing the eddy-fluxes: start with the buoyancy fluxes (no momentum fluxes)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{D \bar{u}^{z}}{D t}-f \bar{v}^{z}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=\bar{R}_{x}, \\
\frac{D \bar{v}^{z}}{D t}+f \bar{u}^{z}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}=\bar{R}_{y}, \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}=b, \\
\frac{\partial\left(\bar{u}^{z}+u_{*}^{z}\right)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial\left(\bar{v}^{z}+v_{*}^{z}\right)}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial\left(\bar{w}^{z}+w_{*}^{z}\right)}{\partial z}=0, \\
\frac{D b}{D t}+u_{*}^{z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}+v_{*}^{z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}+w_{*}^{z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}=0, \\
u_{*}^{z}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar{u}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\bar{v}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\bar{w}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\
\bar{\rho}_{x}^{z} \\
\left.\bar{\rho}_{z}^{z}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad v_{*}^{z}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\kappa \frac{\bar{\rho}_{y}^{z}}{\bar{\rho}_{z}^{z}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

## Implementation in a numerical model of the ACC



Residual overturning using TWA model EP fluxes parametrized as vertical viscosity

With $\quad \kappa_{a}=\mu f^{-2} \bar{\sigma}^{-1}=\mu f^{-2} \bar{N}^{2}$

> Residual overturning using conventional Eulerian mean, parametrized buoyancy fluxes, assuming $\quad(\hat{u}, \hat{v})=(\bar{u}, \bar{v})+\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$

Quantitative agreement, because eddy mom. flux is negligible.

## Summary

Residual mean formalism is very useful to capture the effect of eddy-fluxes on buoyancy transport (and possibly other tracers).

TWA places eddy-effect in EP flux divergence in the momentum equation using a single velocity. Not clear how to get the Eulerian flow (is it needed?)

Not widely implemented yet, but it can and has been done in the ACC setting.
Agrees with parametrization of eddy-fluxes, if confined to buoyancy fluxes.
Not clear how to parametrize all of the EP fluxes (momentum), which are important for jets formation and maintenance.

