Global Observations of Land Breeze Diurnal Variability
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Abstract. Four-times daily satellite wind observations from the QuikSCAT and ADEOS-II tandem scatterometer mission are used to study the land/sea breeze circulation. This provides a comprehensive global view of diurnal wind variations over the ocean. Results from this study agree with frictional linear theory in showing how winds follow an elliptical hodograph through the course of the day, but indicate that diurnal wind variations propagate offshore progressively like nonlinear gravity waves and are detectable several hundred kilometers from the coast.
1. Introduction

In coastal regions, the land surface warms and cools more rapidly than the ocean in response to the daily cycle in solar heating. The resulting diurnal cycle in air-sea temperature difference drives an onshore wind during the day and an offshore wind at night. These wind patterns, known as the sea breeze and land breeze respectively, have important implications for coastal meteorology, for air quality and pollutant dispersal in densely populated coastal regions [Miller et al., 2003], and for climate processes influenced by diurnal forcing, such as ocean mixed-layer heat storage.

Past observational studies of the land and sea breeze have focused on describing diurnal variations in limited regions where meteorological data were available [Dorman, 1982; Alpert et al., 1984; Simpson, 1994; Lerczak et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2003; Wichink Kruit et al., 2004]. Because in situ observations have limited geographic range, these studies identified processes that depend on orography or other local conditions, and could not distinguish local variations from global variations. Here we use scatterometer winds from the SeaWinds instruments aboard the QuikSCAT and ADEOS-II satellites to study the diurnal evolution of the wind over the global ocean.

2. Data and Methods

SeaWinds scatterometers were launched in 1999 aboard the QuikSCAT satellite and in 2002 aboard ADEOS-II. The instruments were designed to measure winds with an accuracy of 2 m s\(^{-1}\) in speed and 20° in direction, with wind observations reported in 25 km pixels along a grid centered along the satellite ground track [Lungu, 2001, 2002]. QuikSCAT and ADEOS-II functioned as a tandem mission between April and October 2003, providing a total of 198 days of data before ADEOS-II’s premature failure. Both satellites followed sun synchronous orbits.
with QuikSCAT observing at roughly 6:00 and 18:00 local time and ADEOS-II observing at 10:30 and 22:30 local time.

Earlier analyses based on QuikSCAT observations alone have shown that morning and evening wind observations are statistically different along most coastlines of the world and throughout the tropical ocean [Gille et al., 2003; Perlin et al., 2004]. The addition of ADEOS-II observations allows us to examine the details of the diurnal variability.

For this analysis, all scatterometer wind measurements collected during the six month tandem mission were sorted geographically into 0.25° latitude by 0.25° longitude bins, and temporally into one of four time bins centered at approximately 6:00, 10:30, 18:00, or 22:30. Winds were averaged to produce mean zonal ($u$) and meridional ($v$) components in each bin. Because of the Earth’s rotation relative to the satellite orbit, the mean time of local observations at 60° latitude differs by 68 minutes from the mean observation time at the equator. Since the satellite swath is several hundred kilometers wide, the exact local time of observation spans a range of 64 minutes at the equator and 136 minutes at 60° latitude. Hence an average measurement time was computed for each spatio-temporal bin.

The binned components were then least-squares fitted to sinusoidal functions:

$$u = u_0 + u_1 \cos \omega t + u_2 \sin \omega t,$$

$$v = v_0 + v_1 \cos \omega t + v_2 \sin \omega t,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\omega = 2\pi$ day$^{-1}$. The least-squares fit is slightly overdetermined, with 8 quantities used to determine six parameters. The least-squares fits of $u_1$, $u_2$, $v_1$ and $v_2$ were used to represent the wind observations in an elliptical hodograph. In situ measurements have typically found near-elliptical variability [Haurwitz, 1947; Schmidt, 1947; Alpert et al., 1984].
Winds align with the major axis of the ellipse at time:

\[ \tau = \frac{1}{2} \tan \left[ \frac{2(u_1u_2 + v_1v_2)}{u_1^2 + v_1^2 - u_2^2 - v_2^2} \right]. \tag{3} \]

The semi-major axis has length

\[ a = \sqrt{(u_1 \cos \omega \tau + u_2 \sin \omega \tau)^2 + (v_1 \cos \omega \tau + v_2 \sin \omega \tau)^2}, \tag{4} \]

and corresponds to the direction of maximum diurnal variation. The semi-minor axis has length

\[ b = \sqrt{(-u_1 \sin \omega \tau + u_2 \cos \omega \tau)^2 + (-v_1 \sin \omega \tau + v_2 \cos \omega \tau)^2}, \tag{5} \]

and is oriented along the direction of minimum variation, orthogonal to \( a \). The ellipse tilts relative to the parallels of latitude by an angle:

\[ \phi = \tan \left[ \frac{v_1 \cos \omega \tau + v_2 \sin \omega \tau}{u_1 \cos \omega \tau + u_2 \sin \omega \tau} \right]. \tag{6} \]

3. Land Breeze Characteristics

Figure 1a shows a global map of \( a \) where it exceeds 3 times its estimated standard error and a selection of wind ellipses. Because the parameters that define the wind ellipse are calculated through a set of non-linear equations, error bars were estimated using a Monte Carlo procedure. One hundred realizations of random data were created by adding to the observations normally distributed perturbations with a standard deviation equal to the standard error in the observations. On average, for locations with a statistically significant land breeze, the diurnal cycle accounts for 17% of the wind variability resolved by the tandem mission, and 92% of this resolved diurnal variability is explained by the elliptical fit.

In Fig. 1a, a strong diurnal cycle is visible across the entire Pacific and Atlantic Oceans equatorward of 30° latitude and along coastlines at all latitudes. (Diurnal variations are not statistically significant in the tropical Indian Ocean, because of the high variance associated
with the monsoon.) The amplitude of the wind is strongest in pixels closest to the coast and attenuates with distance from the shore, as predicted by theory [Walsh, 1974; Niino, 1987]. Poleward of 30° latitude, statistically significant diurnal cycles are more evident along Northern Hemisphere coastlines than along South American coastlines. This is expected, because the land breeze is strongest in summer [Gille et al., 2003].

The offshore extent of the land breeze is shown as a function of latitude in Fig. 2a. Offshore extent was computed in 1° latitude bins, although the results are not strongly sensitive to bin width. The maximum extent at each latitude was determined by finding the first 25 km interval from the shore in which no statistically significant land breeze was observed at any longitude. It is visible up to 300 km offshore at 30° latitude, and up to 100 km offshore poleward of 40° latitude. Equatorward of 30°, the north-south orientation of the wind ellipses in the central Pacific and Atlantic Oceans implies that diurnal variations are controlled by local convective processes [Dai and Deser, 1999] rather than daytime heating of distant land masses. Nonetheless, in the tropics, the influence of land appears to extend several hundred kilometers from shore. Its extent consistently exceeds the onshore extent of the sea breeze, which has been reported to be between 15 km on the Beaufort Sea coast in Alaska [Kozo, 1982] and 200 km in northern Australia [Simpson, 1994; Miller et al., 2003]. This implies that winds are able to propagate further over the ocean than over land. The offshore extent of the land breeze is not as far as implied by inviscid linear theory, which predicts infinite propagation equatorward of 30° latitude [Rotunno, 1983], but it is further than implied by frictional linear theory, which predicts a gradual change in offshore extent between high and low latitudes [Niino, 1987] (see Fig. 2a).

In coastal regions, wind ellipses are expected to be roughly perpendicular to the coastline, with the exact orientation predicted to depend on several factors including latitude and friction.
Fig. 2b shows that on average the angular orientation of the major axis relative to a normal to the coastline varies linearly with latitude. Angular orientation was computed in 1° latitude bins, averaged by latitude for all statistically significant sea breeze locations within 3° of the coast. Since wind data are spatially correlated, standard errors were estimated by dividing the standard deviation by $\sqrt{N/16}$, where $N$ is the number of quarter degree bins available, i.e. by assuming that data decorrelate over 1° lengthscales in coastal regions. Also shown in Fig. 2b are theoretical predictions by Alpert et al. [1984], who obtained a near-linear dependence with latitude. Our study provides global verification of this prediction and shows that the best fit is obtained when the linear drag term $k$ has magnitude roughly equal to the angular rotation rate of the Earth $\omega$.

Latitude also affects the wind ellipse eccentricity, $e \equiv \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}/a$. The eccentricity exceeds 0.5 everywhere and is almost always greater than 0.9. Hence the dominant wind motion is a pattern of alternating onshore and offshore flow. Scatterometer eccentricities, shown in Fig. 2c, were computed for the same bins as angular orientations. Average eccentricities roughly match Alpert et al.’s predictions of high eccentricity near the equator, a minimum at mid-latitudes, and higher eccentricity at the poles.

Because of the Coriolis effect, the ellipse’s direction of rotation is expected to be anticyclonic (i.e. clockwise in the Northern Hemisphere). We find that the direction of rotation is consistent with this expectation (see Fig. 1b). Regional observations of the sea breeze have often reported winds rotating in the opposite direction [e.g. Alpert et al., 1984]. Our analysis indicates that on a global scale cyclonic rotation is unusual and is therefore probably linked to the details of regional meteorology and orography. Along the equator where the Coriolis force is zero, both clockwise and counterclockwise rotation occur.
Figure 1c shows a global map of the observed time of day when winds reach their maximum strength. Maximum air-sea temperature differences are typically thought to occur around 2 pm [Haurwitz, 1947]. Figure 1c indicates the maximum land breeze occurs between 4 pm and 8 pm at scatterometer measurements closest to the coast. With increasing distance from the coast, the time of day when the maximum wind occurs becomes progressively later, so that several hundred kilometers offshore, the maximum wind speed occurs around 10 pm. Exceptions occur near major topographic features such as the Andes and the Namibian highlands and along the east coast of Africa, where the nearshore maximum may occur after the offshore maximum.

Finally, from the phase information shown in Fig. 1c, offshore propagation rates were computed by averaging distances from the coast by time of maximum wind, also in 1° latitudinal bins. The change in average distance from the coast over time was then used to estimate the speed of the land breeze front. Overall there is no clear latitudinal trend. At high latitudes, few data are available and outliers are common. Equatorward of 30°, propagation rates varied between 2 and 15 m s\(^{-1}\), with one outlier of 29 m s\(^{-1}\), implying an average propagation of 9 m s\(^{-1}\), with standard deviation 4 m s\(^{-1}\).

These propagation rates are roughly an order of magnitude greater than the major axis amplitudes. They also exceed the component of mean flow perpendicular to the coast, which averages 0.6 m s\(^{-1}\) with standard deviation 2.9 m s\(^{-1}\) for locations within 550 km of the coast and equatorward of 30° that have a statistically significant diurnal cycle. Theory and laboratory experiments predict that the land breeze front should behave as a gravity current with velocity \(u = k\sqrt{g'd}\), where \(k\) is a constant, \(g' = g\Delta T/T\) is reduced gravity, and \(d\) is a scale height. In contrast with the linear theories used to predict eccentricity and angular orientation of the tidal ellipses, gravity currents depend crucially on nonlinear processes. For typical values \(k = 0.62\)
Simpson, 1969], \( T = 300 \text{ K}, \Delta T = 5 \text{ K}, \) and \( d = 1000 \text{ m}, \) \( u \) is \( 8 \text{ m s}^{-1}, \) in rough agreement with our observations.

4. Summary

This study describes the high-resolution structure of diurnal variability in winds, providing global spatio-temporal observations of the land breeze. Simple linear theory [Alpert et al., 1984], with appropriately chosen drag parameters, explains the orientation, eccentricity, and direction of rotation of the wind hodographs. Scalings derived from laboratory gravity current experiments are able to predict the propagation speed of the land breeze. The significant offshore extent of the land breeze system over the ocean has important implications for our understanding of pollutant dispersal. Since the land breeze signal propagates with gravity wave speeds, coastal pollutants will propagate out to sea fast and far. The results shown here are also important for upper ocean coastal mixed-layer dynamics, because they show that the land’s influence is felt far from shore. Since land breeze wind forcing and solar heating are in phase, this may influence global upper ocean heat storage and gas exchange processes.
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Figure 1. (a) Strength of diurnal wind cycle, with major axis plotted in color in locations where it is statistically significant, and wind ellipses plotted every 6° in locations that are either within 10° of land or equatorward of 30° latitude. (b) Direction of rotation of wind. Red indicating clockwises and blue counter-clockwise rotation. (c) Time of day when wind is aligned with major axis. (Winds are aligned with the major axis twice a day.)
Figure 2. (a) Maximum offshore extent of the land breeze as a function of latitude and predictions from Niino’s linear theory [Niino, 1987] arbitrarily scaled to match observed offshore extents poleward of 30°. (b) Angular orientation of the wind ellipse relative to a normal to the coastline and predictions from [Alpert et al., 1984] which uses a linear drag $k$ that is scaled relative to the rotation rate $\omega$. Positive angles represent a rotation to the right of the normal. (c) Eccentricity of wind ellipses, again shown with predictions from Alpert et al. [1984].